

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/922,928 08/07/2001		07/2001	Clive L. Sangster	B7150-0001/P001	9007	
24998	7590	03/13/2002				
21011012		O MORIN & O	EXAMINER			
2101 L STF WASHING	REET NW TON, DC 2	0037-1526		BERRY, WILLIE WENDELL JR		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3723		

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

1

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
							
	Office Action Summary	09/922,928	CLIVE L. SANGSTER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Willie Berry, Jr.	3723				
Period fo		pears on the cover enect with	. 				
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rep oly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e. cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	Description (a) filed on 20	November 2001					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30</u>	his action is non-final.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T Since this application is in condition for allow		ers prosecution as to the merits is				
3)	closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
<i>,</i> —	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	as algebian requirement					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ ion Papers	or election requirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		e Examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to t						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	eply to this Office action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* (3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application)				
	i) \square The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome						
Attachmen	t(s)	_					
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
I C Datest and T	rodomark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/922,928 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 7 and 14 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The claims are improper because an independent apparatus claim can not be further limited by dependent method claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. No art has been applied to claims 6, 7, 13, and 14 because the scope of claims is unclear.

The following phrases in the claims are vague, indefinite, and/or awkwardly and confusingly worded:

Application/Control Number: 09/922,928

Art Unit: 3723

- I. "the curved surface" (claims 1 and 8, line 1). Lacks proper antecedent basis.
- II. "the sense" (claims 1 and 8, line 3). Lacks proper antecedent basis.
- III. "the intermediate pad" (claims 1 and 8, lines 7-8 and 8-9 respectively). Lacks proper antecedent basis.
 - IV. "the material" (claims 4 and 11, line 1). Lacks proper antecedent basis.
 - V. "the outer surfaces" (claim 8, line 4). Lacks proper antecedent basis.
 - VI. "the minimum space" (claim 8, line 5). Lacks proper antecedent basis.
- VII. "A lens tool.....pad." (claims 6 and 13, lines 1-3). Claims 6 and 13 are indefinite because they are in improper form, an independent can not refer back to a dependent claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-5 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dillon.

Dillon discloses an intermediate urethane lens pad (50) having inherent recesses and protuberances and a lens surfacing pad (62).

Application/Control Number: 09/922,928 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

Dillin does not disclose an adhesive on one side of the lens surfacing pad, the specific size and arrangement of the recesses and protuberances, and specific material of the pad.

In regard to the adhesive on one side of the lens surfacing pad would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, since adhesive is old and well known in the art and to have used adhesive in this way adds no patentable significance to the claim.

The specific size and arrangement of the recesses and protuberances, and specific material of the pad would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, since it is within the general skill of the worker in the art to select size, location, and material on the basis of their suitability for the user's preference as a matter of obvious design choice.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3723

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Willie Berry whose telephone number is (703) 308-7467.

Page 5

Willie Berry, Jr. :wbj

Willie Keng De

Examiner

Art Unit 3723

March 10, 2002